Subject Code	APSS 5068				
Subject Title	Community Intervention				
Credit Value	3	3			
Level	5				
Pre-requisite/ Co-requisite/ Exclusion	APSS5066 Theoretical Perspectives in Community Psychology				
Assessment Methods				7	
	100% Continuous Assessment	Individual Assessment	Group Assessment		
	Virtual Tutorials with Learning Artefact Review & Discussion In-Class Participation	30%			
	Group Presentation		20%		
	Essay	50%			
Objectives	This subject examines designs and implementation of community psychology interventions nested in multiple ecological spheres, from family, small social groups, organizational contexts in schools and communities, to broader societal settings. Promotion and prevention strategies are critically explored, including primary prevention programs, secondary prevention strategies, tertiary prevention strategies and the notion of health promoting factors and at-risk populations. In this subject, learners will acquaint themselves with the logic and how-to on evidence-based interventions, and develop capacity to critically evaluate existing community-based interventions.				
Intended Learning Outcomes	<ul> <li>Upon completion of the subject, learners will be able to:</li> <li>a. Demonstrate an understanding of multi-level analysis at individual and system levels for community problem-solving from individual to broader socio-ecological spheres and contexts</li> <li>b. Explain and apply the philosophy and principles for effective and sustainable community interventions</li> <li>c. Examine the range of promotion and prevention strategies, including individual and larger scale interventions in community settings</li> </ul>				

	<ul> <li>d. Critically analyse developing an inter</li> <li>e. Design an evidence background, an imp</li> </ul>	vention towa -based com	ards com nunity	mmunit interver	y enhan ntion w	ncemen ith evic	nt lence-ba	
Subject Synopsis/ Indicative Syllabus	<ul> <li>Theoretical models for community interventions, including inclusionary models.</li> <li>Practical framework for implementation and evaluation of community interventions</li> <li>Evaluation and research methods for evaluation of process and impact assessment community interventions</li> </ul>							
Teaching/Learning Methodology	Theoretical foundation is students' experiential a project-based learning. learning process through based learning. Teaching and learning a various blended learning students' teamwork in provided through Learn Students are advised to relevant information fr assignments and in thei for consultation (by app request arises. Feedback from the results of the c	for the subje nd practice l It is expect h participatin activities of t g initiatives i project-base @PolyU lea to read the s for the libr r private students to students	ct will knowle ed stud- ng in the che subj incorpo ed learn uggeste ary and dy. The n stude ' progre	be deliv dge wil lents ac e class o lect are orated in ing. Fu nanager ed read d the in e subjec nts' pro	further ings as internet t teacher	quired particip ion and empow ibject as nformat vstem. well a in prep er will l in the s	through pate in t the proj vered by s well as tion will as to sea paring t be availa tudy if s	the their ect- the the the be arch their able such
Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes	Specific assessment methods/tasks	% Weighting (Individual % / Group %)	Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)					
			а	b	c	d	e	
	1. Virtual Tutorials with Learning Artefact Review & Discussion / In-Class Participation	30% (30% / 0%)	*	<b>~</b>	~			
	2. Group Presentation	20% (0% / 20%)	~	~	~	~	~	
	3. Essay	50%	~	~	~	~	~	
		(50% / 0%)						

The fo	llowings apply to the overall grade:
٠	The grade is calculated according to the percentage assigned;
•	The completion and submission of all component assignments are required for passing the subject; and
•	Students must pass all components so as to pass the subject.
	nation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing ended learning outcomes:
	al Tutorials with Learning Artefact Review & Discussion / In- Participation (30%)
virtual review quality learnin	the twill prepare for lectures and extend their learning out-of-class via tutorials with open-source readings / learning artefacts for off-class & discussion via collaborative annotation tools. Based on the of annotations and discussion from reviewing and engaging artefact via Learn@PolyU, students will be assessed with 20% of erall assessment from engagement and performance in these virtual ls.
(SRS)	as engagement through responses via Students' Response System (10%) will reflect students ongoing progress and engagement in earning activities during lectures.
assess	<b>project presentation (20%)</b> employs collaborative approach to students' performance as a team member, a problem-solver and an learner.
Essay	(50%)
master interve covera interve outcon knowle	sment of individual's application and reflection of subject matter y – Essays will assess learning in designing and evaluating community ention in terms of how students blend theories learnt in subject ge of subject matter and practice in their community psychology entions. The SOLO taxonomy on capturing students' learning ne, which categorized students' capacity from simply retaining edge to application of concepts in extended abstract form, will form sis for the grading criteria.
Acade	mic Integrity
	/www.polyu.edu.hk/ar/academic-integrity/introduction/
	spect students to honor and practice academic integrity on their
	nic work in an honest and ethical manner, following the conventions de of practice of their chosen discipline or profession.

## Use of Generative Artificial Intelligence (GenAI) in learning and assessments

https://www.polyu.edu.hk/edc/explore-a-topic/generative-ai/

	<ul><li>PolyU takes an open and forward-looking stance on the use of generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) tools as a positive and creative force in education, and the incorporation of such use in innovative learning, teaching, and assessment practices.</li><li>While embracing the use of new technology in education, PolyU upholds the principle that students must adhere to high standards of academic integrity in all forms of assessments. The Student Code of Conduct and the policy on academic integrity apply to the use of GenAI in student work.</li></ul>			
Student Study Effort Expected				
Expected	Lecture & Tutorial	39 Hrs.		
	Other student study effort:			
	<ul> <li>Reading &amp; review of learning artefacts</li> </ul>	45 Hrs.		
	<ul> <li>Essay preparation and writing</li> </ul>	36 Hrs.		
	Total student study effort	120 Hrs.		
Reading List and References	Orford, J (2008). Community Psychology: Challenges, Controversies and Emerging Consensus. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley. ISBN: 9780470773154. DOI:10.1002/9780470773154			
	This textbook is available online in full text from the PolyU			
	<ul> <li><u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9780470773154</u></li> <li>Maya-Jariego, I., &amp; Holgado, D. (2019). Community Interventions. In L A. Jason, O. Glantsman, J. F. O'Brien, &amp; K. N. Ramian (Eds.), <i>Introduction to Community Psychology: Becoming an Agent of Change</i> (pp. 203–220). Chicago, IL: Depaul University. Retrieved from</li> </ul>			
	http://press.rebus.community/introductiontocommunitypsychology/chapter communityinterventions/			
	Comprehensive List of Related Readings Greenhalgh, T., Jackson, C., Shaw, S., & Janamian, T. (2016). Achievin Research Impact Through Co-creation in Community-Based Health Services: Literature Review and Case Study. <i>The Milbank Quarterly</i> , 94 392–429. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0009.12197			
	Audrey, S., & Batista-Ferrer, H. (2015). Healthy un children and young people: A systematic review of <i>Health &amp; Place</i> , <i>36</i> , 97–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2015.09.004			
	Best, A., Stokols, D., Green, L. W., Leischow, S., K. (2003). An Integrative Framework for Communi			

Theory Into Effective Health Promotion Strategy. American Journal of Health Promotion, 18(2), 168-176.
Butterfoss, F. D. (2007). <i>Coalitions and partnerships in community health</i> . San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
Chan, C.C. (2010). Community Psychology in Chinese Societies. In Michael H. Bond (Ed.). <i>The Oxford Handbook of Chinese Psychology</i> . Oxford: Oxford University Press. pp.441-456.
Chan, C. C., & Chan, K. (2006). Programs Effectiveness, Process Outcomes, and Sustainability of Health Promotion Interventions in Hong Kong: Applying the RE-AIM Framework. <i>Journal of Psychology in Chinese Societies</i> , 7(1), 5-28.
Chinman, M., Hannah, G., Wandersman, A., Ebener, P., Hunter, S., Imm, P., et al. (2005). Developing a Community Science Research Agenda for Building Community Capacity for Effective Preventive Interventions. <i>American Journal of Community Psychology</i> , <i>35</i> (3), 143-157.
El Ansari, W., & Weiss, E. S. (2005). Quality of research on community partnerships: developing the evidence base. <i>Health Education Research, Advance Access</i> , cyh051.
Feinberg, M. E., Greenberg, M. T., & Osgood, D. W. (2004). Readiness, Functioning, and Perceived Effectiveness in Community Prevention Coalitions: A Study of Communities That Care. <i>American Journal of Community Psychology</i> , 33(3-4), 163-176.
Foster-Fishman, P., Berkowitz, S., Lounsbury, D., Jacobson, S., & Allen, N. (2001). Building Collaborative Capacity in Community Coalitions: A Review and Integrative Framework. <i>American Journal of Community Psychology</i> , 29(2), 241-261.
Glasgow, R. E., Vogt, T. M., & Boles, S. M. (1999). Evaluating the Public Health Impact of Health Promotion Interventions: The RE-AIM Framework. <i>American Journal of Public Health</i> , <i>89</i> (9), 1322-1327.
Kegler, M. C., Norton, B. L., & Aronson, R. E. (2008). Strengthening Community Leadership: Evaluation Findings From the California Healthy Cities and Communities Program. <i>Health Promotion Practice</i> , 9(2), 170- 179.
Provan, K. G., Veazie, M. A., Staten, L. K., & Teufel-Shone, N. I. (2005). The Use of Network Analysis to Strengthen Community Partnerships. <i>Public Administration Review</i> , <i>65</i> (5), 603-613.
Stokols, D., Grzywacz, J. G., McMahan, S., & Phillips, K. (2003). Increasing the Health Promotive Capacity of Human Environments. [Article]. <i>American Journal of Health Promotion</i> , 18(1), 4-13.